

Ref. No.	Date	Time	Location	Measured TSP Level	Unit	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action
X_16A057	26-Oct-17	13:00	CMA1b - Harbour Grand Hotel Boundary Wall	821.6	1hr TSP (ug/m ³)	320.1	500	<p>Possible reason: Elevated TSP level potentially in relate to non-construction activities vehicle exhaust around the monitoring station.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Reviewed the trend of air quality measurement across monitoring stations. Analysis of contractor's working procedures.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Despite excavation, breaking works and pile head trimming works were undertaken around the monitoring location on the monitoring date at the under Contractor of HY/2009/19, dust mitigation measure including water spraying for breaking works and haul road were generally implemented by the Contractor. Meanwhile, it was observed that vehicle exhaust from non-construction works was observed as the major factor affecting the monitoring station during monitoring period. In view of the above, the exceedance was considered as non-Project related.</p>
X_16A058	26-Oct-17	13:00	CMA1b - Harbour Grand Hotel Boundary Wall	821.6	1hr TSP (ug/m ³)	320.1	500	<p>Possible reason: Elevated TSP level potentially in relate to non-construction works vehicle exhaust around the monitoring station.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Reviewed the trend of air quality measurement across monitoring stations. Analysis of contractor's working procedures.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Despite waterproofing works were undertaken around the monitoring location on the monitoring date at the under Contractor of HY/2011/08, no particular observation regarding air quality impact was observed during sampling. Meanwhile, it was observed that vehicle exhaust from non-construction works was observed as the major factor affecting the monitoring station during monitoring period. In view of the above, the exceedance was considered as non-Project related.</p>
X_16A059	26-Oct-17	17:00	CMA5b- Pedestrian Plaza	485.5	1hr TSP (ug/m ³)	332.0	500	<p>Possible reason: TSP level potentially in relate to the ambient condition around the monitoring station.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Reviewed the trend of air quality measurement across monitoring stations. Analysis of contractor's working procedures.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: No construction works was undertaken around the monitoring location on the monitoring date under Contractor of HK/2009/01 and no particular observation regarding air quality impact was observed during sampling. Nevertheless, non WDII-CWB Project construction activities was observed opposite to the monitoring station on the monitoring date. Meanwhile, it was noted that the EPD AQHI for Causeway Bay District was recorded as level 7 during the monitoring period, indicating a high level of air pollution in the area affecting the ambient air quality condition. In view of the above, the exceedance was considered to be non-project related and generally contributed by ambient air quality condition. Nevertheless, the Contractor of HK/2009/01 was reminded to provide dust suppression measures for any potential dusty surface and dust generating operation if required around the concerned location to avoid any potential cumulative air quality impact.</p>
X_16A059	26-Oct-17	17:00	CMA5b- Pedestrian Plaza	485.5	1hr TSP (ug/m ³)	332.0	500	<p>Possible reason: TSP level potentially in relate to the ambient condition around the monitoring station.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Reviewed the trend of air quality measurement across monitoring stations. Analysis of contractor's working procedures.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Plastering works within basement and MVB structure and door installation were undertaken around the monitoring location under Contract HY/2011/08 on the monitoring date and no particular observation regarding air quality impact was observed during sampling. Nevertheless, non WDII-CWB Project construction activities was observed opposite to the monitoring station on the monitoring date. Meanwhile, it was noted that the EPD AQHI for Causeway Bay District was recorded as Level 7 during the monitoring period, indicating a high level of air pollution in the area affecting the ambient condition. In view of the above, the exceedance was considered to be non-project related and potentially contributed by ambient air quality condition. Nevertheless, the Contractor of HY/2011/08 was reminded to provide regularly dust suppression measures if any potential dust generating operation around the concerned location would be required to avoid any potential cumulative air quality impact.</p>
X_16A060	26-Oct-17	17:00	CMA5b- Pedestrian Plaza	485.5	1hr TSP (ug/m ³)	332.0	500	<p>Possible reason: TSP level potentially in relate to the ambient condition around the monitoring station.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Reviewed the trend of air quality measurement across monitoring stations. Analysis of contractor's working procedures.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Road and drains works was undertaken under Contract HK/2012/08 around the monitoring location on the monitoring date and no particular observation regarding air quality impact was observed during sampling. Nevertheless, non WDII-CWB Project construction activities was observed opposite to the monitoring station on the monitoring date and dust mitigation including water spraying for haul road was generally implemented. Meanwhile, it was noted that the EPD AQHI for Causeway Bay District was recorded as Level 7 during the monitoring period, indicating a high level of air pollution in the area affecting the ambient condition. In view of the above, the exceedance was considered to be non-project related and potentially contributed by ambient air quality condition. Nevertheless, the Contractor of HK/212/08 was reminded to provided regularly dust suppression measures if any potential dust generating operation around the concerned location would be required to avoid any potential cumulative air quality impact.</p>

Ref. No.	Date	Time	Location	Measured TSP Level	Unit	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action
X_16A062	1-Nov-17	9:05	CMA5b- Pedestrian Plaza	384.5	1hr TSP (ug/m ³)	332.0	500	<p>Possible reason: TSP level potentially in relate to the ambient condition around the monitoring station.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Reviewed the trend of air quality measurement across monitoring stations. Analysis of contractor's working procedures.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: No construction works was undertaken around the monitoring location on the monitoring date under Contractor of HK/2009/01 and no particular observation regarding air quality impact was observed during sampling.</p> <p>Nevertheless, non WDII-CWB Project construction activities was observed opposite to the monitoring station on the monitoring date. Meanwhile, it was reported that the ambient air quality was adversely affected by accumulation of air pollutant influenced by the meterological condition on the monitoring date.</p> <p>In view of the above, the exceedance was considered to be non-project related and generally contributed by ambient air quality condition. Nevertheless, the Contractor of HK/2009/01 was reminded to provide dust suppression measures for any potential dusty surface and dust generating operation if required around the concerned location to avoid any potential cumulative air quality impact.</p>
X_16A063	1-Nov-17	9:05	CMA5b- Pedestrian Plaza	384.5	1hr TSP (ug/m ³)	332.0	500	<p>Possible reason: TSP level potentially in relate to the ambient condition around the monitoring station.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Reviewed the trend of air quality measurement across monitoring stations. Analysis of contractor's working procedures.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Formwork erection, lifting, and scaffolding at MVB were undertaken around the monitoring location under Contract HY/2011/08 on the monitoring date and no particular observation regarding air quality impact was observed during sampling and mitigation measure including dampening of roof top and haul road were generally implemented.</p> <p>Nevertheless, non WDII-CWB Project construction activities was observed opposite to the monitoring station on the monitoring date. Meanwhile, it was reported that the ambient air quality was adversely affected by accumulation of air pollutant influenced by the meterological condition on the monitoring date.</p> <p>In view of the above, the exceedance was considered to be non-project related and potentially contributed by ambient air quality condition. Nevertheless, the Contractor of HY/2011/08 was reminded to provide regularly dust suppression measures if any potential dust generating operation around the concerned location would be required to avoid any potential cumulative air quality impact.</p>
X_16A064	1-Nov-17	9:05	CMA5b- Pedestrian Plaza	384.5	1hr TSP (ug/m ³)	332.0	500	<p>Possible reason: TSP level potentially in relate to the ambient condition around the monitoring station.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Reviewed the trend of air quality measurement across monitoring stations. Analysis of contractor's working procedures.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Road and drains works was undertaken under Contract HK/2012/08 around the monitoring location on the monitoring date and no particular observation regarding air quality impact was observed during sampling. Mitigation measure including water spraying for haul road was generally implemented.</p> <p>Nevertheless, non WDII-CWB Project construction activities was observed opposite to the monitoring station on the monitoring date. Meanwhile, it was reported that the ambient air quality was adversely affected by accumulation of air pollutant influenced by the meterological condition on the monitoring date.</p> <p>In view of the above, the exceedance was considered to be non-project related and potentially contributed by ambient air quality condition. Nevertheless, the Contractor of HK/2012/08 was reminded to provided regularly dust suppression measures if any potential dust generating operation around the concerned location would be required to avoid any potential cumulative air quality impact.</p>

Ref. No.	Date	Time	Location	Measured TSP Level	Unit	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action
X_16A066	24-Nov-17	9:15	CMA5b- Pedestrian Plaza	391.3	1hr TSP (ug/m ³)	332.0	500	<p>Possible reason: TSP level potentially in relate to the ambient condition around the monitoring station.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Reviewed the trend of air quality measurement across monitoring stations. Analysis of contractor's working procedures.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: No construction works was undertaken around the monitoring location on the monitoring date under Contractor of HK/2009/01 and no particular observation regarding air quality impact was observed during sampling.</p> <p>Nevertheless, non WDII-CWB Project construction activities was observed opposite to the monitoring station on the monitoring date. Meanwhile, according to the EPD monitoring record, highest pollutant concentration was recorded during the monitoring date at Causeway Bay monitoring station across a seven day periods.</p> <p>In view of the above, the exceedance was considered to be non-project related and generally contributed by ambient air quality condition. Nevertheless, the Contractor of HK/2009/01 was reminded to provide dust suppression measures for any potential dusty surface and dust generating operation if required around the concerned location to avoid any potential cumulative air quality impact.</p>
		11:00	CMA5b- Pedestrian Plaza	382.1	1hr TSP (ug/m ³)	332.0	500	
		14:00	CMA5b- Pedestrian Plaza	500.4	1hr TSP (ug/m ³)	332.0	500	
X_16A067	24-Nov-17	9:15	CMA5b- Pedestrian Plaza	391.3	1hr TSP (ug/m ³)	332.0	500	<p>Possible reason: TSP level potentially in relate to the ambient condition around the monitoring station.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Reviewed the trend of air quality measurement across monitoring stations. Analysis of contractor's working procedures.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Only waterproofing works and screeding works at MVB were undertaken around the monitoring location under Contract HY/2011/08 on the monitoring date and no particular observation regarding air quality impact was observed during sampling and mitigation measure including dampening of roof top and haul road were generally implemented.</p> <p>Nevertheless, non WDII-CWB Project construction activities was observed opposite to the monitoring station on the monitoring date. Meanwhile, according to the EPD monitoring record, highest pollutant concentration was recorded during the monitoring date at Causeway Bay monitoring station across a seven days period.</p> <p>In view of the above, the exceedance was considered to be non-project related and potentially contributed by ambient air quality condition. Nevertheless, the Contractor of HY/2011/08 was reminded to provided regularly dust suppression measures if any potential dust generating operation around the concerned location would be required to avoid any potential cumulative air quality impact.</p>
		11:00	CMA5b- Pedestrian Plaza	382.1	1hr TSP (ug/m ³)	332.0	500	
		14:00	CMA5b- Pedestrian Plaza	500.4	1hr TSP (ug/m ³)	332.0	500	
X_16A068	24-Nov-17	9:15	CMA5b- Pedestrian Plaza	391.3	1hr TSP (ug/m ³)	332.0	500	<p>Possible reason: TSP level potentially in relate to the ambient condition around the monitoring station.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Reviewed the trend of air quality measurement across monitoring stations. Analysis of contractor's working procedures.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Road and drain construction works was undertaken under Contract HK/2012/08 around the monitoring location on the monitoring date and no particular observation regarding air quality impact was observed during sampling. Mitigation measure including water spraying for haul road was generally implemented.</p> <p>Nevertheless, non WDII-CWB Project construction activities was observed opposite to the monitoring station on the monitoring date. Meanwhile, according to the EPD monitoring record, highest pollutant concentration was recorded during the monitoring date at Causeway Bay monitoring station across a seven days period.</p> <p>In view of the above, the exceedance was considered to be non-project related and potentially contributed by ambient air quality condition. Nevertheless, the Contractor of HK/2012/08 was reminded to provided regularly dust suppression measures if any potential dust generating operation around the concerned location would be required to avoid any potential cumulative air quality impact.</p>
		11:00	CMA5b- Pedestrian Plaza	382.1	1hr TSP (ug/m ³)	332.0	500	
		14:00	CMA5b- Pedestrian Plaza	500.4	1hr TSP (ug/m ³)	332.0	500	

Ref. No.	Date	Time	Location	Construction Noise Level, dB(A)	Parameter	Action Level	Limit Level dB(A)	Follow-up action
X_16N103	3-Nov-17	13:10	M1a-Footbridge at Ex Harbour Road Sports Centre	82	Leq(30min)	when one documented complaint was received.	75	<p>Possible reason: Non WDII-CWB excavation works immediately next to the monitoring station was observed as the major noise contribution during monitoring with mechanical operation directly next to noise monitoring position.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Repeat measurement to confirm result and reviewed the trend of noise measurement. Analysis of contractor's working procedure.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Despite excavation works was conducted by Contract HK/2009/02 around the concerned location during the time of measurement while non WDII-CWB excavation works immediately next to the monitoring station was observed as the major noise contribution during monitoring with mechanical operation directly next to noise monitoring position. As such, the exceedance was considered as non-Project related to Contract HK/2009/02.</p>
X_16N104	3-Nov-17	10:50	M1a-Footbridge at Ex Harbour Road Sports Centre	77	Leq(30min)	when one documented complaint was received.	75	<p>Possible reason: Non WDII-CWB excavation works immediately next to the monitoring station was observed as the major noise contribution during monitoring with mechanical operation directly next to noise monitoring position.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: A repeat measurement was conducted to confirm result and reviewed the trend of previous noise monitoring and Contractor's working procedure.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: No construction work was conducted by Contract HK/2009/01 around the concerned location during the time of measurement while non WDII-CWB excavation works immediately next to the monitoring station was observed as the major noise contribution during monitoring. As such, the exceedance was considered as non-Project related to Contract HK/2009/01.</p>
X_16N106	22-Nov-17	10:50	M1a-Footbridge at Ex Harbour Road Sports Centre	77	Leq(30min)	when one documented complaint was received.	75	<p>Possible reason: Non WDII-CWB breaking works immediately next to the monitoring station was observed as the major noise contribution during monitoring.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Repeat measurement to confirm result and reviewed the trend of noise measurement. Analysis of contractor's working procedure.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Despite backfilling works was conducted by Contract HK/2009/02 around the concerned location with a few, minor and non-continuous breaking actions under Contractor observed during measurement, acoustic screening of breaking tip was implemented by Contract HK/2009/02 and no major noise contribution was observed from the works. Meanwhile, non WDII-CWB breaking works immediately next to the monitoring station was observed as the major noise contribution during monitoring. As such, the exceedance was considered as non-Project related to Contract HK/2009/02. Nevertheless, the Contractor of HK/2009/02 was reminded to maintain adequate noise mitigation measure around the concerned location to avoid potential cumulative impact.</p>
X_16N107	22-Nov-17	10:50	M1a-Footbridge at Ex Harbour Road Sports Centre	77	Leq(30min)	when one documented complaint was received.	75	<p>Possible reason: Non WDII-CWB breaking works immediately next to the monitoring station was observed as the major noise contribution during monitoring.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: A repeat measurement was conducted to confirm result and reviewed the trend of previous noise monitoring and Contractor's working procedure.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: No construction work was conducted by Contract HK/2009/01 around the concerned location during the time of measurement while non WDII-CWB breaking works immediately next to the monitoring station was observed as the major noise contribution during monitoring. As such, the exceedance was considered as non-Project related to Contract HK/2009/01.</p>